# Searching in the dark: the dark mass content of globular clusters

#### Antonio Sollima

**Cosmic-Lab** 

University of Bologna INAF osservatorio Astronomico di Bologna

antonio.sollima@oabo.inaf.it

Collaborators: F. R. Ferraro, L. Lovisi, B. Lanzoni, E. Dalessandro, A. Mucciarelli, C. Pallanca, E. Lapenna (UniBo), F. Contenta (Univ. Surrey), E. Vesperini (Indiana Univ.), L. Origlia (OaBO)

## **M-L** relation for pressure-supported stellar systems





## Models of GC formations

- GCs may form in collapsing DM-free clouds (Peebles & Dicke 1968)
- ...or from gas cooling within a relatively small DM halo (Peebles (1984)









## Models of GC formations

- GCs may form in collapsing DM-free clouds (Peebles & Dicke 1968)
- ...or from gas cooling within a relatively small DM halo (Peebles (1984)

Most of the DM is expected to be stripped by the tidal interaction with the Milky Way

- Temptative evidence of DM in GCs has been claimed in NGC 5128
- But M/L depend on many parameters (MF, remnant fraction, age, [Fe/H], tides, binaries, etc.) which cannot be deduced from integrated light

Cosmic-Lab





#### Dark remnants

During cluster evolution massive stars leave a dark remnant (WD, NS, BH)

- NS are promptly ejected after formation by natal kicks
- BH are quickly removed by "Spitzer instability"
- Low-mass MS stars progressively evaporate because of tidal interaction with the host galaxy







#### Dark remnants

During cluster evolution massive stars leave a dark remnant (WD, NS, BH)



#### Previous works

After a boost of interest in the '90...

cluster	reference	f <sub>dark</sub>
NGC6397	Meylan & Mayor (1991) Heggie & Hut (1996)	22-30% 53%
M13	Leonard et al. (1992)	46%
M107	Piatek et al. (1994)	61-80%
47Tuc	Meylan (1989) Heggie & Hut (1996)	16-23% <70%

#### INCOMPLETE!!

Large uncertainties because of the lack of information on the low-mass end of the MF and small RV dataset

#### ... the attention recently moved to IMBHs

Noyola et al. (2010), Anderson & van der Marel (2010), Lützgendorf et al. (2013), Lanzoni et al. (2013), Kamann et al. (2014)... But their masses depend on the amount and distribution of remnants





## Estimate of the dark mass fraction

Simultaneous fit of LF and  $\sigma_{LOS}$  profile with multimass King-Michie models

No agreement assuming 25%<f<sub>remn</sub><40% Dynamical masses are ≈40% larger than luminous ones

#### BUT

Results depend on the reliability of the adopted model

No information about the distribution of dark mass







#### Non-parametric estimate of the luminous mass

Luminous mass can be estimated in a straightforward way by using deep highresolution photometry

to each star a mass, a completness factor and a binary probability is associated



Cosmic-Lab





# Non-parametric estimate of the total mass

Dynamical mass can be estimated using kinematics as tracers







## Estimate of the dark mass fraction

Dynamical mass can be estimated using by solving the Jeans equation

$$M(r) = \frac{r^2}{G} \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dr} + \frac{d\sigma^2}{dr} + 2\beta \frac{\sigma^2}{r} \right)$$

Cosmic-Lab

WARNING!

Noise enhanced in derivatives

 $\rho$  and  $\sigma^2$  profiles are interpolated with a multi-gaussian expansion using a controlled MCMC scheme

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \mu_i e^{-\frac{r^2}{2s_i^2}} \qquad \qquad \sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \xi_i e^{-\frac{r^2}{2s_i^2}}$$





## Estimate of the dark mass fraction

Dynamical mass can be estimated using by solving the Jeans equation

$$M(r) = \frac{r^2}{G} \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dr} + \frac{d\sigma^2}{dr} + 2\beta \frac{\sigma^2}{r} \right)$$

WARNING!

Could lead to unphysical solutions

At each step the DF is calculated using the Eddington formula

$$f(E) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \left[ \int_0^E \frac{d^2\rho}{d\psi^2} \frac{d\psi}{\sqrt{E-\psi}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{E}} \left( \frac{d\varrho}{d\psi} \right)_0 \right]$$

Only the domain of physically meaningful solutions (f(E)>0) is surveyed





#### Results



Sollima et al. (in prep.)

More than 60% of the mass in both clusters is dark

Dark mass is more concentrated than any other group of stars





#### Results



Sollima et al. (in prep.)

More than 60% of the mass in both clusters is dark

Dark mass is more concentrated than any other group of stars





### Spurious effects: anisotropy

Some degree of anisotropy affect the mass estimate

$$M(r) = \frac{r^2}{G} \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dr} + \frac{d\sigma^2}{dr} + 2\beta \frac{\sigma^2}{r} \right) \qquad \qquad \beta(r) = \pm \frac{r^2}{r^2 + r_a^2}$$







## Spurious effects: anisotropy

Some degree of anisotropy affect the mass estimate

$$M(r) = \frac{r^2}{G} \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dr} + \frac{d\sigma^2}{dr} + 2\beta \frac{\sigma^2}{r} \right)$$

$$\beta(r) = \pm \frac{r^2}{r^2 + r_a^2}$$







#### Spurious effects: rotation

The adopted formulation of the Jeans equation is valid only for spherical non-rotating systems





#### No significant rotation in both clusters





Sollima et al. (in prep.)

#### Spurious effects: binaries

A significant binary fraction can spuriously inflate the velocity dispersion



Cosmic-Lab

Only stars with multiple RV measurements and P<sub>bin</sub><1%

No appreciable variation in binary-free sample

Sollima et al. (in prep.)



## Spurious effects: tidal heating

Interaction with the Milky Way tidal field can spuriously inflate the velocity dispersion



Sollima et al. (in prep.)





# **Comparison with N-body simulations**

The remnant fraction and distribution is reproduced by some N-body simulations

BUT

Simulations are not tailored on real GCs







# **Comparison with N-body simulations**

The remnant fraction and distribution is reproduced by some N-body simulations

BUT

Simulations are not tailored on real GCs





www.cosmic-lab.eu

*Contenta et al. (2015)* 



#### **IMBH?**

The same result can be obtained assuming a single IMBH in the cluster center



Sollima et al. (in prep.)





#### **IMBH?**

The same result can be obtained assuming a single IMBH in the cluster center



Sollima et al. (in prep.)







- More than 60% of the mass within the innermost region of NGC288 and NGC6218 is dark
- The dark mass is more concentrated than any other group of stars suggesting to be constituted by dark remnants
- A large fraction of dark remnants is expected in those clusters subject to strong mass-loss (strong tides, efficient two-body relaxation)
- The detection of IMBH signature is hampered by the uncertainties on the fraction and distribution of dark remnants

Next steps:

- . Extend the survey to GCs with different orbits and  ${\sf t}_{\sf rh}$
- Run N-body simulations calibrated on the observed GCs



